

# Hazard Register



**Type** WHEEL BALANCER  
**Make** -  
**Model** -  
**Serial Number**

**Location**  
**Sale Number** 5057777  
**Lot Number** 13

This item has not been tested for electrical safety

ID	Hazard Type	Hazard Description
142547.1	Friction/Abrasion	CONTACT WITH WHEEL ON ROTATING SHAFT DRIVE. INTERLOCK TYRE GUARD (REQUIRED) TO PREVENT ACCESS TO MOVING WHEEL IN ACCORDANCE WITH AS4024.1: SAFEGUARDING OF MACHINERY.
142547.2	Manual Handling	MANUAL HANDLING OF TYRE ON AND OFF WHEEL BALANCER. CONDUCT MANUAL HANDLING RISK ASSESSMENT OF ACTIVITIES ASSOCIATED WITH PLANT.
142547.3	Electrical	PLANT TO BE USED IN CONJUNCTION WITH EARTH LEAKAGE CIRCUIT BREAKER (SAFETY SWITCH) AND OVERLOAD PROTECTION.
142547.4	Electrical	PLANT NEEDS TO BE REGULARLY INSPECTED AND MAINTAINED AS PER AS/NZS 3760: IN-SERVICE SAFETY INSPECTION AND TESTING OF ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT, AND AS/NZS 3000: WIRING RULES.
142547.5	Radiation	EYE DAMAGE. DO NOT LOOK DIRECTLY INTO THE LASER LIGHT PRODUCED UNDER THE WHEEL GUARD.
142547.6	Access	ENSURE ONLY SKILLED OPERATORS OPERATE PLANT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MANUFACTURERS INSTRUCTIONS.
142547.8	Maintenance	POWER SUPPLY TO THE PLANT MUST BE ISOLATED, DE-ENERGISED BEFORE COMMENCING ANY CLEANING AND OR MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES.
142547.9	Process Manual	ENSURE MANUFACTURERS OPERATION MANUAL PRESENT. OBTAIN AND READ MANUFACTURER'S INSTRUCTION FOR THE PLANT PRIOR TO USE.
142547.10	Striking	UNCONTROLLED OR UNEXPECTED MOVEMENT OF THE PLANT OR TYRE HANDLED BY THE PLANT DUE TO INCORRECT SECURING OF TYRE ON SHAFT DRIVE.
142547.11	Plant Operation	ENSURE SERVICE/MAINTENANCE RECORDS AVAILABLE. REQUIRES REGULAR DOCUMENTED CONDITION INSPECTIONS (INCL SAFETY RELATED CONTROLS).
142547.12	Instructions	SAFE OPERATING INSTRUCTIONS NEED TO BE ATTACHED TO PLANT. PROVIDE TRAINING AND ATTACH INSTRUCTIONS IN A CLEAR AND VISIBLE POSITION FOR THE OPERATOR.

## Health and Safety Plant Safety Purchaser Information

This plant health and safety information has been prepared by Grays for the purchaser of the plant item as required by National WHS Legislation. Whilst every effort has been made to identify all of the hazards, it should be recognised that all reasonably practicable hazards have been identified given due consideration to:

- state of knowledge about the plant item
- the availability and suitability of ways to eliminate or control the hazards
- the cost of evaluating, eliminating or controlling the hazard

Consequently, if this plant item is being purchased for use at a place of work, the purchaser is reminded of their obligations to involve and consult with employees in identifying foreseeable hazards, assess their risks and to take action to eliminate or control the risks.

In order to assess the risk, it is necessary to consider for all the identified hazards, the chance (likelihood) of something happening that would impact (consequence) on health and safety at the workplace. The following guidelines are provided to assist the purchaser in consistently carrying out an assessment of risk:

Likelihood	Consequences
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Frequency and duration of exposure</li><li>• Probability of occurrence of hazard or event (including part history of incidents)</li><li>• Possibility to avoid / minimize or limit the damage, impact or harm</li><li>• Reliability and effectiveness of existing / established systems of control</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Assume “worst case” injury, but also competent follow-up medical and rehabilitation support</li><li>• Consider forces or energy levels, highest belt tensions, size of gears, pulleys or other entrapment points and therefore body parts likely to be injured</li><li>• Consider sharpness of entrapment points, surrounding parts likely to exacerbate injury, and any give in the entrapment point</li><li>• Consider, will entrapment continue until plant is stopped, or can an injured part travel through the entrapment area</li><li>• Are temperatures of plant, or chemicals, likely to further injure entrapped person</li></ul>

The outcome of the risk assessment will be a prioritised list of risk control strategies and actions consistent with the following ratings:

Low risk- may be considered acceptable, where the existing controls in place are seen to be effective, requiring periodic monitoring for effectiveness.

Medium risk- considered to be unacceptable and requiring additional risk controls within medium to long term.

High risk – considered to be unacceptable and requiring action within the short to medium term.

Extreme risk – unacceptable, where immediate action required.

In all of these cases employees/operators must be made aware of the risk controls in place to protect them from the hazards.